

Appendix D: Proofs of Proposition A1 and Claim 1

Proof of Proposition A1. (i) From (43) in the proof of Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d[w_n(e^+, s_l)h_n(e, s_l)]}{ds_l} &= w_n(e^+, s_l) \frac{\partial h_n(e, s_l)}{\partial s_l} + \frac{dw_n(e^+, s_l)}{ds_l} h_n(e, s_l) = h_n(e, s_l) \left[-\frac{w_n(e^+, s_l)}{1-s_l} + \frac{dw_n(e^+, s_l)}{ds_l} \right] \\
&= w_n(e^+, s_l) \frac{h_n(e, s_l)}{1-s_l} \left\{ -1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)e^+ \alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] + \left(\frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} + \delta_l \right) h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+)}{h_l(e^+, s_l) \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+)} \right\} \\
&= w_n(e^+, s_l) \frac{h_n(e, s_l)}{1-s_l} \frac{1}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} \frac{\left(-h_l(e^+, s_l) \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\} \right.}{\left. (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right)} \\
&= w_n(e^+, s_l) \frac{h_n(e, s_l)}{1-s_l} \frac{1}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} \frac{\left((1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left\{ \alpha e^+ \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] - h_l(e^+, s_l) \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] \right\} \right.}{\left. (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right)} \\
&= w_n(e^+, s_l) \frac{h_n(e, s_l)}{1-s_l} \frac{1}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} \frac{\left((1-\alpha) \underline{h}_l \alpha \left\{ \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] \right\} \right.}{\left. (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right)} \\
&\quad \left(\text{because } h_l(e^+, s_l) \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left[\delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de + \underline{h}_l F(e^+) \right] e^+ \text{ from (7)} \right) \\
&= w_n(e^+, s_l) \frac{h_n(e, s_l)}{1-s_l} \frac{1}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} \frac{\left(\alpha \underline{h}_l \left\{ (1-\alpha) \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] - \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] \right\} \right.}{\left. (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right)} \\
&\quad \left(\alpha \underline{h}_l \left\{ (1-\alpha) \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] - \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \left[-\alpha \underline{h}_l + (1-\alpha-s_l) \delta_l e^+ \right] \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{D1}$$

[Proof for $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} < 0$] When $s_l \geq 1-\alpha \Leftrightarrow 1-\alpha-s_l \leq 0$, $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} < 0$ because the expressions inside the big parenthesis of (D1) is negative.

When $s_l < 1-\alpha$, $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} < 0$ if $-\alpha \underline{h}_l + (1-\alpha-s_l) \delta_l e^+ \leq 0$ because $-\alpha \underline{h}_l + (1-\alpha-s_l) \delta_l e^+ > \frac{1}{F(\bar{e}^+)} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] - \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] \right\}$ for the expressions inside the parenthesis. $-\alpha \underline{h}_l + (1-\alpha-s_l) \delta_l e^+ \leq 0$ holds iff $s_l \geq (1-\alpha) - \alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)}$, where $e^+(s_l)$ is, from (7) and (5), a solution for

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] e^+ = \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] h_l(e^+, s_l) \tag{D2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \delta_l s_l e^+ \left[\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de \right] = \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} F(e^+) e^+ + \int_0^{e^+} ef(e) de - \left[\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] \right\} \underline{h}_l. \tag{D3}$$

$s_l - \left[(1-\alpha) - \alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]$ increases with s_l because

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 - \alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l}{\delta_l (e^+)^2} \frac{de^+}{ds_l} \\
&> 1 - \alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l}{\delta_l (e^+)^2} \frac{\delta_l (e^+)^2}{\underline{h}_l} > 0. \text{ (from (37) in the proof of Lemma 1).}
\end{aligned} \tag{D4}$$

Further, at $s_l = s_l^{**} \equiv (1 - \alpha) - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}}$, $s_l > (1 - \alpha) - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)}$. Hence, if $(1 - \alpha) - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(0)} \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow e^+(0) \leq \frac{\alpha h_l}{(1 - \alpha) \delta_l}$, $(1 - \alpha)(h_l + \delta_l e^+) - h_l(e^+, s_l) \leq 0$ holds for any s_l and thus $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} < 0$ for any s_l . $e^+(0)$ is the solution to $\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} F(e^+)e^+ + \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de = \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1 - F(\bar{e})]\bar{e}$ from (D3), thus this is the case when many individuals have limited wealth.

Otherwise, i.e. $e^+(0) > \frac{\alpha h_l}{(1 - \alpha) \delta_l}$, there exists unique $s_l^\# \in (0, s_l^{**})$ satisfying $s_l^\# = (1 - \alpha) - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l^\#)}$ and $-\alpha h_l + (1 - \alpha - s_l) \delta_l e^+ \leq 0$ for $s_l \geq s_l^\#$. Thus, $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} < 0$ for $s_l \geq s_l^\#$. (Note that this is a sufficient but not necessary condition. $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} < 0$ could hold for smaller s_l or for any s_l , if the expressions inside the big parenthesis of (D1) is negative.)

[Proof for $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} > 0$] As shown above, $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} > 0$ is possible only when $s_l < 1 - \alpha$, in which case $-\alpha h_l + (1 - \alpha - s_l) \delta_l e^+ > \frac{1}{F(e^+)} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \left[h_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] - \left[h_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] \right\}$ holds. Thus, $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} > 0$ when $(1 - \alpha) \left[h_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] - \left[h_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] \geq 0$, which holds iff $s_l \leq 1 - \alpha - \alpha \frac{h_l F(e^+(s_l))}{\delta_l \int_0^{e^+(s_l)} ef(e)de}$, where $e^+(s_l)$ is a solution to (D3).

At $s_l = s_l^{**} \equiv (1 - \alpha) - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}}$, $s_l > (1 - \alpha) - \alpha \frac{h_l F(e^+(s_l))}{\delta_l \int_0^{e^+(s_l)} ef(e)de}$. Further, $s_l - \left[(1 - \alpha) - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \frac{F(e^+(s_l))}{\int_0^{e^+(s_l)} ef(e)de} \right]$

increases with s_l if $\frac{\int_0^{e^+(0)} ef(e)de}{F(e^+(0))} \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} e^+(0)$.

This can be proved as follows. The derivative of the expression with respect to s_l equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \frac{F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de}{\left(\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right)^2} f(e^+) \frac{de^+}{ds_l} \\
&= 1 - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \frac{F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de}{\left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2} f(e^+) \frac{\delta_l e^+ \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1 - F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] - \alpha \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right\}}{\left(1 - \alpha \right) \frac{h_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1 - F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l)e^+ f(e^+)} \quad (\text{from (37)}) \\
&= \frac{\left(\left\{ \frac{1}{e^+} \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 - \alpha \left[F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] f(e^+)e^+ \right\} (1 - \alpha) h_l \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1 - F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] \right)}{\left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 h_l(e^+, s_l)e^+ f(e^+) + \alpha^2 h_l \left[F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] f(e^+)e^+ \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]} \\
&= \frac{\left(\left\{ \frac{1}{e^+} \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 - \alpha \left[F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] f(e^+)e^+ \right\} \alpha h_l \left[h_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] \frac{e^+}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} \right)}{\left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{h_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1 - F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l)e^+ f(e^+) \right\}} \quad (\text{from (D2)}) \\
&= \frac{\left(\left\{ \frac{1}{e^+} \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 - \alpha \left[1 - h_l(e^+, s_l) \frac{1}{\delta_l s_l e^+} \right] \left[F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] f(e^+)e^+ \right\} \alpha h_l \left(\delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right) \frac{e^+}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} \right)}{\left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 h_l(e^+, s_l)e^+ f(e^+) + \alpha^2 h_l \left[F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] f(e^+)e^+ \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]} \\
&= \frac{\left(\left\{ \frac{1}{e^+} \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 - \alpha \left[F(e^+)e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] f(e^+)e^+ \right\} \alpha h_l \left[h_l F(e^+) \right] \frac{e^+}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} + \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 h_l(e^+, s_l)e^+ f(e^+) \right)}{\left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{h_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1 - F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l)e^+ f(e^+) \right\}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 \left[\delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de + \underline{h}_l F(e^+) \right] \alpha \underline{h}_l \\ & + \left\{ [h_l(e^+, s_l) - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] + \alpha \underline{h}_l F(e^+) e^+ \right\} \left\{ [h_l(e^+, s_l) + \alpha \underline{h}_l] \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] - \alpha \underline{h}_l F(e^+) e^+ \right\} f(e^+) e^+ \end{aligned} \right)}{h_l(e^+, s_l) \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right]^2 \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\}} \quad (D5)$$

The expression is positive if

$$\begin{aligned} & [h_l(e^+, s_l) + \alpha \underline{h}_l] \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] - \alpha \underline{h}_l F(e^+) e^+ \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left\{ 2 \left[\int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] - (1-\alpha) \left[\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] \right\} h_l(e^+, s_l) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (D6)$$

where the second equality is from (D2), which can be expressed as $\alpha \underline{h}_l \left[F(e^+) e^+ - \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de = (1-\alpha) \left[\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] h_l(e^+, s_l)$. The inequality holds for any s_l iff

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left[\int_0^{e^+(0)} ef(e)de \right] - (1-\alpha) \left[\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \frac{\int_0^{e^+(0)} ef(e)de}{F(e^+(0))} \geq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} e^+(0) \quad (\text{from (D3)}). \end{aligned} \quad (D7)$$

Hence, if $(1-\alpha) - \alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l F(e^+(0))}{\delta_l \int_0^{e^+(0)} ef(e)de} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\int_0^{e^+(0)} ef(e)de}{F(e^+(0))} > \frac{\alpha \underline{h}_l}{(1-\alpha)\delta_l}$ and $\frac{\int_0^{e^+(0)} ef(e)de}{F(e^+(0))} \geq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} e^+(0)$,

there exists unique $s_l^b \in (0, s_l^\#)$ satisfying $s_l^b = (1-\alpha) - \alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l F(e^+(s_l^b))}{\delta_l \int_0^{e^+(s_l^b)} ef(e)de}$ and $\frac{d(w_n h_n)}{ds_l} > 0$ for $s_l \leq s_l^b$.

(ii) From (43) in the proof of Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d[w_l(e^+, s_l) h_l(e, s_l)]}{ds_l} = w_l(e^+, s_l) \frac{\partial h_l(e, s_l)}{\partial s_l} + \frac{dw_l(e^+, s_l)}{ds_l} h_l(e, s_l) \\ & = w_l(e^+, s_l) \delta_l e - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{w_l(e^+, s_l)}{w_n(e^+, s_l)} \frac{dw_n(e^+, s_l)}{ds_l} h_l(e, s_l) \\ & = w_l(e^+, s_l) \left\{ \delta_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l(e, s_l)}{h_l(e^+, s_l)} \frac{e^+}{1-s_l} \frac{\alpha \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] + \left(\frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} + \delta_l \right) h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+)}{(1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+)} \right\} \\ & = w_l(e^+, s_l) \frac{\left(\begin{aligned} & \delta_l e h_l(e^+, s_l) (1-s_l) \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\} \right. \\ & \left. - \alpha h_l(e, s_l) \left\{ \alpha \underline{h}_l \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] + (\underline{h}_l + \delta_l e^+) h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\} \right)}{h_l(e^+, s_l) (1-s_l) \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\}} \\ & = w_l(e^+, s_l) \frac{\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \delta_l e h_l(e^+, s_l) (1-s_l) (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] \\ & - \alpha h_l(e, s_l) \alpha \underline{h}_l \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) [\delta_l e h_l(e^+, s_l) (1-s_l) - \alpha h_l(e, s_l) (\underline{h}_l + \delta_l e^+)] \end{aligned} \right\}}{h_l(e^+, s_l) (1-s_l) \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\}} \\ & = w_l(e^+, s_l) \frac{\left(\begin{aligned} & \alpha \underline{h}_l \left\{ \delta_l e (1-s_l) \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l s_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] - \alpha h_l(e, s_l) \left[\underline{h}_l F(e^+) + \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} ef(e)de \right] \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) [\delta_l e (1-s_l) h_l(e^+, s_l) - \alpha h_l(e, s_l) (\underline{h}_l + \delta_l e^+)] \right)}{h_l(e^+, s_l) (1-s_l) \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{\underline{h}_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\}} \quad (\text{from (D2)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= w_l(e^+, s_l) \frac{\left(\alpha \underline{h}_l \left\{ [(1-s_l-\alpha s_l)\delta_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \underline{h}_l F(e^+) + [(1-s_l-\alpha)\delta_l s_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} e f(e) de \right\} \right.}{\left. + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \left\{ [(1-s_l-\alpha s_l)\delta_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \underline{h}_l + [(1-s_l-\alpha)\delta_l s_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \delta_l e^+ \right\} \right)}{h_l(e^+, s_l)(1-s_l) \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{h_l}{e^+} \left[\int_{e^+}^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de + [1-F(\bar{e})]\bar{e} \right] + h_l(e^+, s_l) e^+ f(e^+) \right\}}. \quad (D8)$$

[Proof for $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} > 0$] If the expression inside the big parenthesis of (D8) is positive, $(1-s_l-\alpha s_l)\delta_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l > 0$ and thus $[(1-s_l-\alpha s_l)\delta_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \frac{h_l F(e^+)}{\int_0^{e^+} e f(e) de} + [(1-s_l-\alpha)\delta_l s_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \delta_l > [(1-s_l-\alpha s_l)\delta_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \frac{h_l}{e^+} + [(1-s_l-\alpha)\delta_l s_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \delta_l$ hold. Hence, $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} > 0$ if $[(1-s_l-\alpha s_l)\delta_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \frac{h_l}{e^+} + [(1-s_l-\alpha)\delta_l s_l e - \alpha \underline{h}_l] \delta_l \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow L(s_l) \equiv -e e^+(s_l) s_l^2 + \left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] e s_l + \left[-\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(s_l) \right) + e \right] \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow s_l \in \left[\max(0, s_{l,l}^\Delta(e)), s_{l,h}^\Delta(e) \right]$, where $s_{l,h}^\Delta(e)$ ($s_{l,l}^\Delta(e)$) is the solution to

$$\begin{aligned} s_l &= \frac{\left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] e + (-) \sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]^2 e^2 + 4e e^+(s_l) \left[-\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(s_l) \right) + e \right] \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}}{2e e^+(s_l)} \\ &= \frac{\left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] + (-) \sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]^2 + 4e e^+(s_l) \left[-\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(s_l) \right) e^{-1} + 1 \right] \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}}{2e^+(s_l)} \\ &= \frac{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] + (-) \sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left[-\alpha + \frac{e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right] \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e}}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (D9)$$

Real solutions to (D9) could exist only if the expression inside the square root is non-negative (from the second equation),

$$e \geq \Lambda(e^+(s_l)) \equiv \frac{4\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(s_l) \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{4 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \frac{1}{e^+(s_l)} \left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]^2} = \frac{\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(s_l) \right)}{1 + \frac{\delta_l}{4h_l e^+(s_l)} \left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]^2}, \quad (D10)$$

which holds for any s_l if

$$e \geq \Lambda(\bar{e}) \equiv \frac{\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right)}{1 + \frac{\delta_l}{4h_l \bar{e}} \left[(1-\alpha) \bar{e} - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]^2}, \quad (D11)$$

because the derivative of (D10), which can be expressed as $\frac{4\alpha \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{4 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} + \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2}$, with

respect to s_l is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left\{ \frac{4h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} + \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 \right\} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} e^{+'}(s_l) - \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right) \left\{ \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] (1+\alpha) - 2 \right\} \frac{2h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} e^{+'}(s_l) \\ &= - \left\{ (1-\alpha)^2 + \left[(1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 2(1+\alpha^2) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} - 2 \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right) \left[(1+\alpha)^2 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} + (1+\alpha^2) \right] \right\} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} e^{+'}(s_l) \\ &= - \left\{ (1-\alpha)^2 - \left[(1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 - 2(1+\alpha^2) - 2(1+\alpha)^2 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right\} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} e^{+'}(s_l) \\ &= (1+\alpha)^2 \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right)^2 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} e^{+'}(s_l) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (D12)$$

$s_{l,h}^\Delta(e) \in (0, 1)$ satisfying (D9) exists and is unique when $e > \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(0) \right)$ and $e \geq \Lambda(\bar{e})$ or when $e^+(0) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$ and $e \geq \Lambda(\bar{e})$, because [1] the RHS of (D9) decreases with $e^+(s_l)$ and thus s_l , [2] the RHS at $s_l = 0$ is greater than 0, which holds clearly for the above ranges of e from (D9), and [3] the RHS at $s_l = 1$ is smaller than 1.

[1] can be proved as follows. The derivative of the numerator of the RHS of (D9) with respect to e^+ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} + (-) \left\{ \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} - 2 \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{[e^+(s_l)]^2} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l [e^+(s_l)]^2} \left(\left\{ \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+\alpha) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (-) \left\{ \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] (1+\alpha) - 2 \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e} \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (D13)$$

The second term inside the big parenthesis of the above equation is negative because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] (1+\alpha) - 2 \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e} \\ & \leq \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] (1+\alpha) - 2 + 2\alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \left\{ \frac{4\alpha \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right]^2 + 4 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}}} \right\}^{-1} \quad (\text{from (D11)}) \\ & < \frac{- \left[\frac{(1+\alpha)^2 h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} + (1+\alpha)^2 \right] 2 \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right) + \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right]^2 + 4 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}}}{2 \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right)} \\ & = \frac{- \left[(1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right]^2 - 2 \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} - (1+\alpha)^2 2 \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right) + \left[(1-\alpha)^2 - 2(1-\alpha^2) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right] + 4 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}}}{2 \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right)} \\ & = - \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l \bar{e}} \right) < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (D14)$$

Then, the RHS of (D9) decreases with e^+ when the solution is $s_{l,h}^\Delta(e)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} \right\} (1+\alpha)^2 < \left\{ - \left[(1-\alpha) - \frac{(1+\alpha)h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] (1+\alpha) + 2 \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e} \right\}^2 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} (1+\alpha)^2 < \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e} \left\{ - \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] (1+\alpha) + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e} \right\} \\ & \Leftrightarrow - \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} (1+\alpha)^2 < (e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}) \left(\alpha - \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} \right) \\ & \Leftrightarrow -2 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} < e + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e}. \end{aligned} \quad (D15)$$

[3] is true since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(1)} \right] + \sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(1)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(1)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e}}}{2} < 1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(1)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(1)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} < (1+\alpha)^2 \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(1)} \right)^2 \\
& \Leftrightarrow 2(1+\alpha^2) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(1)} + (1-\alpha)^2 - 4\alpha \left(1 + \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(1)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} < (1+\alpha)^2 \left(1 + 2 \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(1)} \right). \quad (D16)
\end{aligned}$$

$s_{l,l}^\Delta(e) \in (0, s_{l,h}^\Delta(e))$ satisfying (D9) exists when $e^+(0) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $e < \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(0) \right)$, and $e \geq \Lambda(\bar{e})$, because [1] the RHS of (D9) increases with $e^+(s_l)$ and thus s_l from (D13) and (D14), [2] the RHS at $s_l = 0$ is greater than 0, and [3] the RHS at $s_l = 1$ is smaller than 1. [2] and [3] hold clearly for the above range of e and $e^+(0)$ from (D9).

$s_{l,l}^\Delta(e) > 0$ does not exist when $\bar{e} \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$ or when $e \geq \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right)$, which is clear from (D9).

Finally, it is formally proved that $L(s_l) \geq 0$ and thus $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} > 0$ when $s_l \in \left[\max(0, s_{l,l}^\Delta(e)), s_{l,h}^\Delta(e) \right]$. This is true because for s_l greater than $s_{l,l}^\Delta(e)$, $s_l < s_{l,h}^\Delta(e)$ implies from (D9)

$$\begin{aligned}
s_l & < \frac{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] + \sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e}}}{2} \\
& (\because \text{the RHS decreases with } s_l) \\
& \Leftrightarrow 2s_l - \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] < \sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e}} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \left\{ 2s_l - \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] \right\}^2 < \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} \\
& \left(\because s_l > s_{l,l}^\Delta(e) \Leftrightarrow 2s_l > \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] - \sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. [\because \text{the RHS increases with } s_l] \right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow 2s_l - \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] > -\sqrt{\left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right]^2 + 4 \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e}} \\
& \Leftrightarrow -s_l^2 + \left[(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e^+(s_l)} \right] s_l - \left(-\alpha + \frac{e^{-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}}{e^+(s_l)} \right) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l e} > 0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow L(s_l) \equiv -e e^+(s_l) s_l^2 + \left[(1-\alpha) e^+(s_l) - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] e s_l + \left[-\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(s_l) \right) + e \right] \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} > 0. \quad (D17)
\end{aligned}$$

To summarize the result, (a) $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} > 0$ for $s_l \leq s_{l,h}^\Delta(e)$ when $e \geq \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right)$ and when $\bar{e} \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $e \in \left(\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(0) \right), \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right) \right)$, and $e \geq \Lambda(\bar{e}) \equiv \frac{\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right)}{1 + \frac{\delta_l}{4h_l \bar{e}} \left[(1-\alpha) \bar{e} - (1+\alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]^2}$.^{D1} (b) $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} > 0$

^{D1} $\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right) > \Lambda(\bar{e})$ holds in the former case.

for $s_l \in [s_{l,l}^\Delta(e), s_{l,h}^\Delta(e)]$ when $e^+(0) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$ and $e \in [\Lambda(\bar{e}), \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(0) \right)]$; (c) $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} > 0$ for $s_l \in \left[\max\left(0, s_{l,l}^\Delta(e)\right), s_{l,h}^\Delta(e) \right]$ when $\bar{e} > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $e^+(0) \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, and $e \in [\Lambda(\bar{e}), \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right)]$ and when $e^+(0) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $e \in [\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(0) \right), \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \bar{e} \right)]$, and $e \geq \Lambda(\bar{e})$.^{D2}

From (a), the statement on $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} > 0$ of (ii)(a) of the proposition is obtained, and from (a)–(c), the statement of (ii)(b) of the proposition is obtained.

[Proof for $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0$] If the expression inside the big parenthesis of (D8) is negative, $(1 - s_l - \alpha)s_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} < 0$ must hold and thus $\left[(1 - s_l - \alpha)s_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] h_l + \left[(1 - s_l - \alpha)s_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] \delta_l \frac{\int_0^{e^+} e f(e) de}{F(e^+)} > \left[(1 - s_l - \alpha)s_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] h_l + \left[(1 - s_l - \alpha)s_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] \delta_l e^+$. Hence, $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0$ if $\left[(1 - s_l - \alpha)s_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] h_l F(e^+) + \left[(1 - s_l - \alpha)s_l e - \alpha \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] \delta_l \int_0^{e^+} e f(e) de \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow M(s_l) \equiv -e \frac{\int_0^{e^+(s_l)} e f(e) de}{F(e^+(s_l))} s_l^2 + \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{\int_0^{e^+(s_l)} e f(e) de}{F(e^+(s_l))} - (1 + \alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right] e s_l + \left[-\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + \frac{\int_0^{e^+(s_l)} e f(e) de}{F(e^+(s_l))} \right) + e \right] \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \leq 0$, $\Leftrightarrow s_l \leq \max\{0, s_{l,l}^\nabla(e)\}$ and $s_l \geq s_{l,h}^\nabla(e)$, where $s_{l,h}^\nabla(e)$ and $s_{l,l}^\nabla(e)$ are the solutions to (D9) with $e^+(s_l)$ replaced by $E(e|e < e^+(s_l)) \equiv \frac{\int_0^{e^+(s_l)} e f(e) de}{F(e^+(s_l))}$.

Hence, following the same steps as the proof of $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0$, it is shown that $s_{l,h}^\nabla(e) \in (0, 1)$ satisfying (D9) (with $e^+(s_l)$ replaced by $E(e|e < e^+(s_l))$) exists and is unique when $e > \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < e^+(0)) \right]$ and $e \geq \Omega(\bar{e}) \equiv \frac{\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < \bar{e}) \right)}{1 + \frac{\delta_l}{4h_l E(e|e < \bar{e})} \left[(1 - \alpha) E(e|e < \bar{e}) - (1 + \alpha) \frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]}$ and when $E(e|e < e^+(0)) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$ and $e \geq \Omega(\bar{e})$; $s_{l,l}^\nabla(e) \in (0, s_{l,h}^\nabla(e))$ satisfying (D9) exists when $E(e|e < e^+(0)) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $e < \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < e^+(0)) \right]$, and $e \geq \Omega(\bar{e})$; $s_{l,l}^\nabla(e) > 0$ does not exist when $e \geq \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < \bar{e}) \right]$ and when $E(e|e < \bar{e}) \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$.

Summarizing these results, (a) $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0$ for $s_l \geq s_{l,h}^\nabla(e)$ when $e \geq \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < \bar{e}) \right]$ and when $E(e|e < \bar{e}) \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $e \in \left(\alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < e^+(0)) \right], \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < \bar{e}) \right] \right)$, and $e \geq \Omega(\bar{e})$; (b) $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0$ for $s_l \leq s_{l,l}^\nabla(e)$ and $s_l \geq s_{l,h}^\nabla(e)$ when $E(e|e < e^+(0)) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$ and $e \in \left[\Omega(\bar{e}), \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < e^+(0)) \right] \right)$; (c) $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0$ for $s_l \leq \max\{0, s_{l,l}^\nabla(e)\}$ and $s_l \geq s_{l,h}^\nabla(e)$ when $E(e|e < \bar{e}) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $E(e|e < e^+(0)) \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, and $e \in \left[\Omega(\bar{e}), \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < \bar{e}) \right] \right)$ or when $E(e|e < e^+(0)) > \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$, $e \in \left[\alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < e^+(0)) \right], \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < \bar{e}) \right] \right)$ and $e \geq \Omega(\bar{e})$.

From (a), the statement on $\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0$ of (ii)(a) of the proposition is obtained, and from (a)–(c), the statement of (ii)(b) of the proposition is obtained.

Further, from the equation for $M(s_l)$ and (D9), it can be seen that $M(s_l) \leq 0$ and thus

^{D2} $\Lambda(\bar{e}) > \alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + e^+(0) \right)$ holds in the former case.

$$\frac{d(w_l h_l)}{ds_l} < 0 \text{ for any } s_l \text{ when } e \leq \Omega(e^+(0)) \equiv \frac{\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < e^+(0)) \right)}{1 + \frac{\delta_l}{4h_l E(e|e < e^+(0))} \left[(1-\alpha)E(e|e < e^+(0)) - (1+\alpha)\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} \right]^2} \text{ (real}$$

solutions to $M(s_l) = 0$ do not exist) when $E(e|e < e^+(0)) \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{h_l}{\delta_l}$ and $e \leq \alpha \left[\frac{h_l}{\delta_l} + E(e|e < e^+(0)) \right]$ (the RHS of (D9) at $s_l = 0$ is negative and thus $s_{l,h}^\nabla(e) < 0$).

[Proof for $s_{l,h}^\nabla(e) > s_{l,h}^\Delta(e) > s_l^*(e)$ and $s_{l,l}^\nabla(e) < s_{l,l}^\Delta(e) < s_l^\circ(e)$] $s_{l,h}^\nabla(e) > s_{l,h}^\Delta(e) > s_l^*(e)$ ($s_l^*(e)$ is s_l maximizing $w_l(e^+, s_l)h_l(e, s_l)$ when the return to educational investment for local jobs is positive and $e^+ = \bar{e}$ and is given by (52) in the proof of Proposition 3) is true, because, for given e^+ , the RHS of the equation determining $s_{l,h}^\Delta(e)$, (D9), is smaller (greater) than the RHS of the equation determining $s_{l,h}^\nabla(e)$ ($s_l^*(e)$) (this can be seen from the fact that, as shown above, the RHS decreases with e^+ when the solution is $s_{l,h}^\Delta(e)$), and the RHSs decrease with e^+ and thus s_l . Similarly, $s_{l,l}^\nabla(e) < s_{l,l}^\Delta(e) < s_l^\circ(e)$, where $s_l^\circ(e)$ is s_l minimizing $w_l(e^+, s_l)h_l(e, s_l)$ when the return is positive and $e^+ = \bar{e}$, holds, because the RHS of the equation determining $s_{l,l}^\Delta(e)$, (D9), is greater (smaller) than the RHS of the equation determining $s_{l,l}^\nabla(e)$ ($s_l^\circ(e)$) (this can be seen from the fact that, as shown above, the RHS increases with e^+ when the solution is $s_{l,l}^\Delta(e)$), and the RHSs increase with e^+ and thus s_l . ■

Proof of Claim 1. From (27) of the proof of Proposition 1, the dividing line between $e^+ < \bar{e}$ and $e^+ = \bar{e}$ when the return to educational investment for local jobs is positive is

$$F(\bar{e}) = 1 - \alpha \frac{h_l + s_l \delta_l \int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de}{h_l + s_l \delta_l (1 - \alpha) \bar{e}}. \quad (\text{D18})$$

For given s_l , $F(\bar{e})$ satisfying the equation is highest when $\int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de = 0$ and thus the equation becomes $F(\bar{e}) = 1 - \alpha \frac{h_l}{h_l + s_l \delta_l (1 - \alpha) \bar{e}}$, and $F(\bar{e})$ satisfying the equation is lowest when $\int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de = \bar{e} F(\bar{e})$ and thus the equation becomes $F(\bar{e}) = 1 - \alpha$.

From (29) of the proof of Proposition 1, the dividing line when the return is negative is

$$\frac{F(\bar{e}) - (1 - \alpha)}{[F(\bar{e})]^\alpha [1 - F(\bar{e})]^{1 - \alpha}} A [\delta_n (1 - s_l)]^\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\bar{e}} \right)^{1 - \alpha} = 1, \quad (\text{D19})$$

where the LHS increases with $F(\bar{e})$ from the proof of the proposition.

By substituting (D18) into (D19),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha s_l \delta_l \left[(1 - \alpha) \bar{e} - \int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de \right]}{\left\{ (1 - \alpha) h_l + s_l \delta_l \left[(1 - \alpha) \bar{e} - \alpha \int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de \right] \right\}^\alpha \left[\alpha \left(h_l + s_l \delta_l \int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de \right) \right]^{1 - \alpha}} A [\delta_n (1 - s_l)]^\alpha \left(\frac{h_l}{\bar{e}} \right)^{1 - \alpha} = 1 \\ & \Leftrightarrow A [\alpha \delta_n (1 - s_l)]^\alpha s_l \delta_l \frac{(\bar{e})^\alpha \left[(1 - \alpha) - \frac{\int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de}{\bar{e}} \right]}{\left\{ (1 - \alpha) h_l + s_l \delta_l \left[(1 - \alpha) \bar{e} - \alpha \int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de \right] \right\}^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{s_l \delta_l \int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de}{h_l} \right)^{1 - \alpha}} = 1. \quad (\text{D20}) \end{aligned}$$

The two dividing lines intersect if there exist s_l and $F(\bar{e})$ satisfying the above equation. They do intersect when $F(\bar{e})$ satisfying (D18) for given s_l is highest, i.e. $F(\bar{e}) = 1 - \alpha \frac{h_l}{h_l + s_l \delta_l (1 - \alpha) \bar{e}}$, because (D20) when $\int_0^{\bar{e}} e f(e) de = 0$ is same as the equation for the return being zero when $e^+ = \bar{e}$

((23) of the proof of Proposition 1), which implies that the dividing lines intersect on the loci for zero return. By contrast, they do not intersect when $F(\bar{e})$ satisfying (D18) for given s_l is lowest, i.e. $F(\bar{e}) = 1 - \alpha$, because the LHS of (D20) when $\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de = \bar{e}F(\bar{e}) = (1 - \alpha)\bar{e}$ is zero.

From (23), the return when $e^+ = \bar{e}$ equals

$$(1 - \alpha)A \left[\frac{\alpha \delta_n (1 - s_l) \bar{e} (s_l)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{(1 - \alpha)(\underline{h}_l + \delta_l s_l \bar{e})} \right]^\alpha \delta_l - 1 = A [\alpha \delta_n (1 - s_l)]^\alpha s_l \delta_l (1 - \alpha) \left[\frac{\bar{e}}{(1 - \alpha)(\underline{h}_l + \delta_l s_l \bar{e})} \right]^\alpha - 1. \quad (\text{D21})$$

The LHS of (D20) is smaller than the first term of (D21) when $\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de > 0$, because

$$\frac{\left[(1 - \alpha) - \frac{\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{\bar{e}} \right]}{\left\{ (1 - \alpha)\underline{h}_l + s_l \delta_l \left[(1 - \alpha)\bar{e} - \alpha \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de \right] \right\}^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{s_l \delta_l \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{\underline{h}_l} \right)^{1 - \alpha}} < (1 - \alpha) \left[\frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)(\underline{h}_l + \delta_l s_l \bar{e})} \right]^\alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1 - \frac{\int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{(1 - \alpha)\bar{e}}}{1 + \frac{s_l \delta_l \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{\underline{h}_l}} < \left[\frac{1 - \frac{s_l \delta_l \alpha \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{(1 - \alpha)(\underline{h}_l + \delta_l s_l \bar{e})}}{1 + \frac{s_l \delta_l \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{\underline{h}_l}} \right]^\alpha = \left[\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{(1 - \alpha)(\frac{\underline{h}_l}{s_l \delta_l} + \bar{e})}}{1 + \frac{s_l \delta_l \int_0^{\bar{e}} ef(e)de}{\underline{h}_l}} \right]^\alpha. \quad (\text{D22})$$

Hence, when the dividing lines intersect, the return is positive at the intersection. Further, the dividing line when the return is positive (D18) intersects with $s_l = 0$ at $F(\bar{e}) = 1 - \alpha$, while the line when the return is negative (D19) intersects with $s_l = 0$ at $F(\bar{e}) \in (1 - \alpha, 1)$; the former intersects with $s_l = 1$ at $F(\bar{e}) \in [1 - \alpha, 1)$, while the latter approaches $F(\bar{e}) = 1$ as $s_l \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, irrespective of whether the dividing lines intersect or not, the line between $e^+ < \bar{e}$ and $e^+ = \bar{e}$ when the positive return is located below the line when the negative return on the loci for zero return on the $(s_l, F(\bar{e}))$ plane. ■